PIENT & TRIBLES type a plus sign (+) inside this box -> +

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Application Number	09/514,454	
TRANSMITTAL	_	Filing Date	February 25, 2000	
, FORM		First Named Inventor	Steven F. Reiber	
/ (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	1725	
		Examiner Name	Edmondson, L.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	9	Attorney Docket Number	PA1118US	

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 9 Attorney Docket Number PA1118US						
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form    Assignment Papers (for an Application)     Drawing(s)     Licensing-related Papers     Petition     Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application     Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address     Express Abandonment Request     Express Abandonment Request     Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)     Response to Missing Parts     Response to Missing Parts     Response to Missing Parts     Response to Missing Parts     Convert to a Provisional Application     Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address     Terminal Disclaimer     Request for Refund     Remarks     Total page number does not include the page of the p				After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Postcard Copies of References (9) Form PTO/SB/08 (in duplicate)		
	SIGNATU	RE OF APPLI	CANT, ATTORNEY, OI	RAGENT		
Firm or Individual name Signature Date	Carr & Ferrell,		. No. 22830	Reg. No. 33,101		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class						

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:

May 24, 2001

Typed or printed name

David Lewis

Signature

Date May 24, 2001

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (11-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

## FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** 

(	(\$)	1	8	0	0	0

Complete if Known		
Application Number	09/514,454	
Filing Date	February 25, 2000	
First Named Inventor	Steven F. Reiber	
Examiner Name	Edmondson, L.	
Group Art Unit	1725	
Attorney Docket No.	PA1118US	

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated foos and credit any overnous parts to:	3. ADDITIONAL FEES					
Deposit	Large Small Entity Entity					
Account Number 06-0600	Entity Entity  Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee P Code (\$)	aid				
Deposit Account Carr & Ferrell, LLP	105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath					
Name Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	_				
Applicant claims small entity status.	139 130 139 130 Non-English specification	_				
See 37 CFR 1.27	147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination					
2. X Payment Enclosed: X Check Credit card Money Othe	112 920 112 920 Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action					
FEE CALCULATION	113 1,840* 113 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action					
	115 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month					
1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity	116 390 216 195 Extension for reply within second month					
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	117 890 217 445 Extension for reply within third month					
Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Pa  101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee	118 1,390 218 695 Extension for reply within fourth month					
106 320 206 160 Design filing fee	128 1,890 228 945 Extension for reply within fifth month					
107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee	119 310 219 155 Notice of Appeal					
108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee	120 310 220 155 Filling a brief in support of an appeal					
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	121 270 221 135 Request for oral hearing					
	138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding					
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)0	140 110 240 55 Petition to revive (unavoidable ())					
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from	141 1,240 241 620 Petition to revive - unintentional					
Extra Claims below Fee P	¬\					
Total Claims	143 440 243 220 Design issue fee	-				
Claims	144 000 244 300 Think issue ite					
Multiple Dependent =0	122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner	$\dashv$				
Large Entity Small Entity	123 50 123 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)					
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	126 180 126 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt 180					
Code (\$) Code (\$) 103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)					
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3	146 710 246 355 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))					
104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	d (3/ CFR § 1.129(a)) 149 710 249 355 For each additional invention to be					
109 80 209 40 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))					
110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	179 710 279 355 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)					
and over original patent	169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application					
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0	Other fee (specify)					
	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180					

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)					applicable)	
Name (PrintlType)	David Lewis		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	33,101	Telephone	(650) 812-3400
Signature		grupa	wi		Date	May 24, 2001

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.



## IN THE

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S):

Steven F. Reiber, et al.

SERIAL NO.:

09/514,454

FILED:

February 25, 2000

TITLE:

Dissipative Ceramic Bonding Tip

EXAMINER:

Edmondson, L.

GROUP ART UNIT:

1725

ATTY.DKT.NO.:

**PA1118US** 

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, and 1.97-1.98

SIR:

Pursuant to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97-98 of the Rules of Practice in Patent Cases, enclosed herewith is form PTO-SB-08 listing several references, copies of which are enclosed. The Examiner is requested to make these references of official record in the application. The references cited may be material to examination of the application and are submitted in compliance with Applicants' duty of disclosure as defined by 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

Hughbanks et al. is one of many patents that evidences that ElectroStatic

Discharge (ESD) is a recognized problem in the magnetic recoding industry. This is relevant because the tool tip of the invention is used for bonding components associated with magnetic recording head as discussed on page 2, lines 3-6 of the present Application.

Additionally, magnetic recording heads were known to behave as highly sensitive fuses that are highly vulnerable to ESD and precautions to protect against ESD were commonly practiced in the magnetic recording industry prior to the invention.

05/31/2001 RHARIS1 00000120 00514454

01 FC:125

160.00 BP

The Test Report of Carl Newberg has been sent to the Applicants' potential and ual customers in marketing this invention and relates to the method of measuring resistance used to characterize the tool tips of this invention.

Either the Keithley Model 6517a and the KeyTech MZ-15 ESD Simulator, or, alternatively, the equivalent model of one or both of these devices, was on sale in this country prior to the February 25, 1999 priority date of the present application.

The rest of the documents show that the method of or the equipment used in the Test Report is an old and well known manner of characterizing ESD and/or dissipation.

No representation is made or intended as to the completeness of this list, nor is the inclusion of any reference on this list an admission that it is prior art or pertinent to this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven F. Reiber, et al.

Dated: May 24, 2001

David Lewis, Reg. No. 33,101

Carr & Ferrell LLP

2225 East Bayshore Road, Suite 200

Palo Alto, CA 94303 TEL: (650) 812-3400

FAX: (650) 812-3444

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date printed below:

Dated:May 24, 2001